ļ		ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
l	SUBJECT: (Optional)				DD C DECISARY
l	Current Commitments	of Film	n Produ	ction B	ranch DD/S REGISTRY
	FROM:			EXTENSION	NO. F. T. I. Surveyell 2
ŀ	Chief, ISS 1036, CofC				DATE
	1030, ColC				26 October 1972
	TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	D	ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.
	1. DDTR	27/3	et 27	AK	Per your request.
	2.				1 to 2: per your
-	3.		27 OCT 13	5	1 to 2: per your request a for the imp of the 205.
	Mr. Waltes		5	Ja	info of the dos,
	5. Mr. Coffey	N e3	30 NOV	1	2 to 4:
	6.				2 to 4: Asym requited -
					et et
	7.				
	8.				
	9.				
	10.				
	11.				
	12.				
	13.				
	14.				
_	15.				

INTERNAL USE ONLY

26 October 1972

MEMORAND	UM FOR:	Director of Training	
SUBJECT:		Current Commitments of Film Production Branch	
history being	made for cation in N	cy is the overhead reconnaisance project OSP/DDS&T. This film which involves New England and the west coast is targeted ary 1973.	
load for the revised Risk	next few m of Capture hich are b nich do not		25X1
story. Its pr	oduction h	n to be made of Mr. Lundahl and the NPIC has not yet been specifically scheduled ecause of the DCI's interest in having it	
production an	d will be d	ber of OTR film projects which await done when we can line them up. They are er of priority:	
a.	two scrip	ts for the Senior Seminar (which may be ape)	
b.	This has	video record of lectures. been put back until gets six eedback from his course.	25X1 25X1

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP84-00780R004800150001-5

С.	Approximately ten films or segments of	
	films which can be made for operations training	
	e.g., countersurveillance.	
	o, g, , o and f an , o man etc.	
d.	An RI procedures film to be made	25X1
	y intention to refuse outside commitments if we	
can and turn o	our attention to OTR requirements in the film and	
TV field.		
		0EV4
		25X1
	Chief	
	Instructional Support Staff	

STAT

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP84-00780R004800150001-5

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

STAT

Then you have time

Approved For Release 2005/06/03: CIA-RDP84-00/80R004800150001-5

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP84/00780R004800150001-5

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP84-00789R004800150001-5

Simeday someone (the I.G.?) will have to do a thorough study of this. In the meantime I then believe OTA and OI Capabilities Complement lach other and Pantining them really would not achieve my Conservation of resources.

2 2 SEP 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Support

SUBJECT

: Agency Film Making

REFERENCE

Routing Slip Note dtd 11 Aug 72 to AD/L fr DD/S.

same subject

- In response to your request in referent note, this memorandum contains information on Agency film making within the Support Directorate and requests guidance as to what extent we should pursue this matter within the other Agency Directorates. Such request is contained in paragraph 6.
- Within the Support Directorate, three components are involved in movie film from either a production, service, or requirement standpoint. These are the following:

Film Production Branch, Office of Training Motion Picture Section, Printing Services Division, Office of Logistics Technical Security Division, Office of Security

Film Production Branch, Office of Training: The primary function of the Film Production Branch is the making of movies for the Agency. Although its resources. facilities, and equipment are modest in comparison to normal commercial practice. this Branch has a basic capability to shoot movies either in its small studio or on location. The Chief of the Branch also has the professional expertise to serve as consultant or advisor on movies produced commercially for the Agency. A summary of the basic functions and resources of the Branch are included as Attachment 1. The Office of Training envisions this Branch as the focal point for all movies produced for the Agency. Since major Agency film productions are funded by the requiring components, however, such movies are often planned and produced independently by the

25X1

WARNING NOTICE SENSITIVE INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED .

SECRET

EXEMPT FROM GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE OF E O. 11652, EXEMPTION CATEGORY: § 58(1) ((2)) (3) or (4) (circle one or more) AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED ON

APDIR

Approved For Release 2005/06/03: CIA-RDP84-00780R0048001500001e-5 nsert date or event)

Approved For Release 2005/86/03 RGIA-RDP84-00780R004800150001-5

SUBJECT: Agency Film Making

25X1

25X1

requiring components, and coordination with the Branch is either minimal, after the fact, or nonexistent. The Office of Training feels that the management of overall Agency movie costs and production quality would be substantially improved if all Agency movie requirements were coordinated with the Branch during the conceptual and planning stages.

4. Office of Logistics: The principal function of the is to provide film duplication and processing services for the Agency. These include film printing, developing, editing, assembly, and sound mixing. As a result of its involvement in film services functions, this Section also has the capability to shoot film and on occasion assists the Film Production Branch in the actual shooting and producing of movies. A summary of the basic functions and resources of the Section are included as Attachment 2. A major customer of the services provided by this Section is the Central Reference Service which operates a film library and related reference activities for the Agency. Duplication of movie film for the Central Reference Service is often required and accomplished on an urgent, expedite basis.

5. Technical Security Division, Office of Security: Although this Division has no continuing movie production or service functions, it is currently involved in meeting a requirement for an indoctrination movie concerning the importance of security measures and precautions. This movie, entitled "Damage Report," is being produced commercially at a total cost of about \$100,000 and is 95 percent complete. Five other USIB agencies have an interest in the movie and are participating in meeting its cost. As a result the cost to be borne by this Agency is expected to be at the \$20,000 level. The Chief of the Film Production Branch, Office of Training, served as technical advisor and consultant to the Technical Security Division and the commercial producer during the shooting of the film. Since the Technical Security Division has no on-going, continuing function related to movie production or service, it has no equipment or resources permanently devoted to such efforts. The Division does have and uses certain movie-type equipment solely as technical investigative tools. Such equipment and its use are not, however, considered to be within the scope of this review.

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 pcl4-RDP84-00780R004800150001-5

SUBJECT: Agency Film Making

6. During the course of this review, leads were obtained as to other Agency elements outside the Support Directorate which are likely to be involved in movie film production, services, or requirements. These are:

Office of Special Projects 1

Central Reference Service ²
National Photographic Interpretation Center ³
Technical Services Division ³
Office of Research and Development ³

¹May have movie production requirements.

²May perform film services.

Your note indicated that this review should be conducted 'low key.' Accordingly, guidance is requested as to whether we should take further action to explore the extent of Agency moviemaking activities within the other Agency Directorates.

John F. Blake
Director of Logistics

2 Atts

25X1

cc: OS/TD

OTR/ISS/FPB

³May have movie producing capability and equipment.

TAB

Approved For Release 2005/06@E (CINERDP84-00780R004800150001-5

Film Production Branch Instruction Support Staff Office of Training

Function:	
Motion Picture Production	on
Resources:	
(1	GS-12 GS-10 Contract Script Writer (as needed)
Funds Programmed (app	prox.):
Facilities and Equipmen	nt (900 sq. ft. total):
Studio w/installed li Control Room Editing Room w/edi Cameras (four) Recorders, sound w Dolleys (two) Mike Booms (two) Lights, portable	iting table and related equipment
Production - FY 72:	
45 hours of finished film (Actual shooting ranges	n programming from four to eight times the amount of finished product.)

TAB

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : GIA-RDP84-00780R004800150001-5

Photographic Branch
Printing Services Division

Office of Logistics

Functions:

Film Printing
Processing (developing)
Editing and Assembly
Sound Mixing

Resources:

Personnel (positions): GS-12

GS-11

GS-09 (two)

Funds Programmed (approx.):

Facilities and Equipment (2,000 sq. ft. total):

Narration Booth
Mixing Studio - w/sound mixing console
Projection Room - w/two projectors and recorders
Editing Room - w/editing table
Film Printing Rooms (three) - w/film printers
Film Processing Room (developing)
Cameras (four)

Film Service Production - FY 72:

Printing - 434,920 ft.
Processing - 702,903 ft.
Sound - 125,769 ft.
Shooting - 2,125 ft.

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/06/03: CIA-RDP84-00780R004800150001-5

1							
	UNCLASSIFIEI	HECK CLASSIFICATION		ттом			
-	UNCLASSIFIE	CONFIDEN	TIAL	SECRET			
	OFFI	GIAL ROUTING	SLIP				
TO		ID ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS			
1	Acting Director 1227 Ames Buil	of Logistics ding					
2							
3							
4							
5							
6	cc: D/Training						
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY				
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION				
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN				
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATUR	RΕ			
Remarks: Frank - I've had a note for some time to ask about Agency film making - people, space, equipment, and extent and types of use. The attached stimulated me to ask for a look-see, low key and without too much effort or formality. Would you please lead?							
fori	k-see, low key a	and without too mu	d me to as ch effort o	k for a			
fori	k-see, low key a	and without too mu	ch effort o	k for a r			
fori	k-see, low key a	and without too muy you please lead? (Signed) Joh	ch effort o	k for a r			
fori	k-see, low key a	ind without too mu you please lead? (Signed) Joh John W	ch effort o	k for a r			
fori	k-see, low key a mality. Would y	ind without too mu you please lead? (signed) Joh John W	n W. Coffe Coffey	k for a r			
for	k-see, low key a mality. Would y	ind without too mu you please lead? (Signed) Joh John W	n W. Coffe Coffey	k for a r			

STAT

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP84-00780R004800150001-5

DD/S:JWC:llc (11 August 1972)

Distribution:

O - Addressee w/O att

1 - DD/S Subject w/xcy att

1 - DD/S Chrono w/xcy att

1 - DTR w/xcy att

Att: Newsclipping from the Washington Post dtd 10 Aug 72 subj: Federal Film-Making Hit -- Waste and Duplication Found in U.S. FILM Programs

Millions Wasted, Rep. Goldwater Says

Federal Film-Making Hit

By Haynes Johnson and Jack Fuller Washington Post Staff Writers

In the past two years the Defense Department eranked out 12 films, all on the same subject: "How to Brush Your Teeth!" Tenmore films were produced from within the Pentagon in that period on another subject, venereal disease.

These, according to a highly critical report made public yesterday, by Rep. Barry Goldwater Jr. (R-Calif.), are among many examples of how the federal government is spending millions and millions of dollars of the taxpayers' money each year for the production of films and other audio-visand materials. The films and the equipment needed to produce them often are duplicated by other agencies. and the government itself. does not seem to know just how much is being spent.

Even individual government agencies apparently do not know what is being produced or how much is being, spent within their own departments.

other example of massive and expensive—government bureaucracy that no one scams able to control, to say nothing of coordinating?

"When the question (of government production of films) was initially raised, Li went to the Library of Congress and the Office of Management and Budget to inquire into the specifics of government audio-visual production," Goldwater said resterday, "I asked them: how much was being spent, how many tapes and films wore being produced and the explanation of government policy regarding the hicing of professional performing and technical persounel.

"I soon discovered that no care had his answers to these questions."

Goldwater estimated that rotal government audio-visual expenditures in 1971 exceeded \$590 million. With the help of the General Accounting Office, Goldwater's

investigators determined that the government budgeted about \$35 million for audio-visual productions in 1971, but they found that 13 agencies and departments specifically questioned on the matter said they had spent at least \$120 million.

Then an investigator

Then an investigator began a detailed probe into the Defense. Department's expenditures. The Pentagon originally reported that it spent \$60.2 million on audio-visual programs in 1971; but Goldwater's investigator found that records showed an expenditure of more than \$214 million on the programs.

Defense_Department spokesmen declined to comment until they had a chance to read and study Goldwater's report.

Goldwater, whose Los Angeles County congressional district includes some of the nation's largest motion picture and television production facilities, began an investigation of governmentproduced films last November. He was urged to make the investigation by the American Federation of Television and Radio, Artists (AFTRA) and its sister union, the Screen Actors Guild (SAG), as well as other unions in the entertainment and film production fields.

Yesterday Goldwater and leaders of AFTRA and SAG appeared jointly to make public findings of the 66-page report on the government's total production of radio and television tapes as well as motion picture and other audio-visual materials.

On the basis of Goldwater's investigation, the federal government now ranks as the nation's largest single producer in the radio-television-motion picture fields.

The report includes these findings:

• In their written responses to Goldwater, the 13 government agencies or departments investigated declared they had a total of S15 million worth of audio-visual equipment. The investigation, however, showed that the Defense Department

alone has more than \$289 million worth of equipment.

• Within individual agencies there exists a great amount of duplication of production facilities and equipment. Six of the seven major agencies within the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, the report states, possess their own facilities and equipment.

• There has been no attempt on the federal level to standarize \audio-visual equipment! The report, for instance, notes that many federal agencies, military and civilian, have purchased, Sony video tape recorders. But Sony video half-or oneinch tapes recording in black and white are not compatible with standard two-inch recording and play-back equipment used by major networks and TV stations.

 Several agencies gave different figures for the total amounts spent on audio-visual productions. The Agriculture Department, for example, was contacted twice by the investigators and asked to provide the total funds spent for the fiscal years 1969, 1970 and 1971. Goldwater's investigators found "great discrepancies in both (Agriculture) reports which allege to report what in fact the department is spending in the production of audio-visual materials."

In 1969 alone, there was a discrepancy of \$310,000.

Another discrepancy was found within the Defense Department. In a Library of Congress summary, the Pentagon was reported spending about \$9.7 million for audiovisual activities. But in a written report filed with the Government Accounting Office, the Pentagon listed total expenditures at \$60.2 million—more than a \$50 million discrepancy.

In addition to attacking the government for waste and thismanagement in its audio-visual programming. Goldwater charged that by producing programs using their own studios, equipment and employees, the

government commits unfair labor practices.

John Gavin, an actor and president of SAG, said his industry is "in extreme danger of extinction" with high unemployment and many studios idle.

The government by "immoral and illegal" direct competition with the private audio-visual industry aggravates the industry's decline, he said.

"The government," Goldwater said, "has adopted a policy of not using professional personnel, particularly from the performing unions such as AFTRA and SAG. Instead, as my report documents, the various federal agencies and departments use secretaries and any other regular government employee that might be available."

By contracting with private audio-visual firms. Goldwater said, the government would get a higher quality product than it can produce itself. The increased expenditure on wages could be offset by streamlining the government's audio-visual operations to eliminate waste.

Goldwater made four recommendations:

1. That the government use private producers of audio-visual materials and programs more.

2. That the government pay everyone involved in its own audio-visual production or contract jobs the prevailing wage rate.

3. That the government eliminate duplication of programming and facilities.

4. That a federal Audio-Visual Coordination Board be created to insure that the government operates efficiently.

Goldwater has sent his report and recommendations to the White House, urging

that the President issue an executive order implementing the recommendations. If that fails, he said, he would introduce legislation to change government audiovisual policies.

FEDERAL FILM-MAKING HIT (Continued)

Waste and Duplication Found in U.S. Film Programs

Gavin said if Goldwater's recommendations were put into effect they could mean \$25 million in new earnings for workers in the private audio-visual industry.

Goldwater stressed that the problems noted in his report are "symptomatic of the bureaucratic tangle that is so evident in many areas of government. The blame for this situation cannot be given to one particular administration, and anyone who would use this report as an indictment against any one administration would be totally inaccurate and absolutely wrong."